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Please use this sheet as a guide only to assist you in formulating your comments on the referee evaluation sheet. Your comments will assist the author when revising the manuscript.

Please do not write your comments on this sheet, use the referee evaluation sheet provided.

- Does the subject fall within the general scope of the journal?
- Is this a new and original contribution? (For review articles this need not necessarily apply.)
- Are interpretations and conclusions sound, justified by the data and consistent with the objectives?

If the answers to any of the above three points are negative, please give clear arguments for rejection of the paper on the enclosed referee evaluation sheet.

If the answers to the above three points are positive, then please continue with the following.

- Does the title clearly reflect the contents?
- Is the abstract sufficiently informative, especially when read in isolation?
- Are appropriate keywords given?
- Is the statement of objectives of the article adequate and appropriate in view of the subject matter?
- Is the description of materials and methods sufficiently informative to allow replication of the experiment?
- Are the statistical methods used correct and adequate?
- Are the results clearly presented?
- Is the organisation of the article satisfactory (e.g. no discussion in Results)?
- Is the article structured in agreement with the Guide for Authors?
- Does the content justify the length?
- Are the figures and tables all necessary, complete (e.g. titles) and clearly presented?
- Are the references adequate and in agreement with the Guide for Authors?
- Is the English correct and understandable to a multidisciplinary and multinational readership?
- For Elsevier’s animal and veterinary journals only: if the paper deals with animal experimentation, could any aspect of it be seen as having caused unnecessary suffering?