Foliar Nutrient Concentrations Related to Soil Sources across a Range of Sites in the Northeastern United States
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Understanding the supply of nutrients from various soil sources and the sensitivity of tree species to soil nutrient availability is critical for predicting the effects of declines in base cations due to acid rain and forest harvesting on forest health and productivity. We collected soil samples from 19 sites in the northeastern United States, chemically analyzed them using a sequential extraction procedure, and compared them to the chemical composition of foliage of the dominant tree species. Concentrations of Ca and Mg in foliage were correlated with exchangeable Ca and Mg concentrations in the upper mineral soil; for most tree species they were also correlated to acid-extractable Ca and Mg in the parent material (C horizon). Foliar P was better correlated with soil P in the upper mineral soil than in the C horizon, while foliar Al was insensitive to soil Al concentrations. In five sites in New Hampshire, the Ca/Sr of foliage was consistent with that of the O horizon, after taking the reported discrimination of Ca over Sr into account. In sites in New York, without an Oe horizon, the Ca/Sr of foliage was too high to be explained by any of the soil pools. A comparison of Ca/Sr ratios of foliage among species at common sites showed oak (Quercus spp.) to have higher Ca/Sr ratios than sugar maple (Acer saccharum Marsh.), birch (Betula spp.), red maple (A. rubrum L.) and beech (Fagus spp.). The interpretation of soil Ca sources from Ca/Sr ratios is complicated at sites where a single horizon does not dominate the source.

Abbreviation: DF, discrimination factor.

Soil base cations have declined in soils in the northeastern United States in the 20th century (Likens et al., 1998) due to forest harvesting (Federer et al., 1989; Johnson et al., 1991) and acidic deposition (Likens et al., 1996). Reductions in exchangeable soil Ca and Mg have been associated with declines of sugar maple (Bailey et al., 2004; Juice et al., 2006), an economically and ecologically important species. Sugar maple is thought to have high Ca requirements (Fujinuma et al., 2005; Page et al., 2008) while other species, such as beech, are less sensitive to soil base cation status (Duchesne et al., 2005; Park and Yanai, 2009). Acid deposition has also increased the concentration of dissolved inorganic Al in soil, which is toxic to plants (Foy et al., 1978; Delhaize and Ryan, 1995). Differences in the responses of tree species to regional variation in soil conditions may provide clues as to which species will be most sensitive to continued soil acidification and base cation depletion.

Sources of nutrients to forest soils include atmospheric deposition and mineral weathering (e.g., Graustein and Armstrong, 1983). Apatite, a ubiquitous but trace mineral in parent material, has been shown to be disproportionately important as a source of Ca and P in young soils, because of its high weathering rate...
In a site in the White Mountains of New Hampshire, 52 to 69% of the foliar Ca was estimated to be derived from apatite in some tree species (Dasch et al., 2006) based on Ca/Sr and $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratios of foliage and soil (Blum et al., 2002; Dasch et al., 2006). The annual input of Ca to foliage from weathering of apatite and silicates combined is only ~1% of the Ca in the vegetation and forest floor (Nezat et al., 2004; Blum et al., 2008), since weathering inputs are small compared to biological recycling rates. Determining the role of weathering of apatite and other minerals in replenishing soil Ca will aid in determining whether weathering will help ameliorate the negative effects of acid rain on forest soils (Hamburg et al., 2003; Yanai et al., 2005).

Because Sr is chemically similar to Ca and is taken up and incorporated into plant tissue along with Ca (Runia, 1987), the Ca/Sr ratios of plant tissue have been compared to Ca/Sr ratios of parent materials and atmospheric sources to determine the relative importance of different sources of Ca for vegetation (Blum et al., 2008; Miller et al., 1993; Bailey et al., 1996). Differences in the uptake and use of Ca and Sr by trees are represented as a discrimination factor (DF), where the DF = (Ca/Sr)plant tissue/(Ca/Sr)nutrient source. The discrimination factors for sugar maple, yellow birch (Betula alleghaniensis Britton) and beech have been determined from the Ca/Sr ratio of the vegetation after weathering (Dasch et al., 2006). Tissues had different discrimination factors, with leaves discriminating for Ca over Sr (DF > 1, Blum et al., 2008, 2012), while roots had greater Sr than Ca relative to the source (DF < 1, Dasch et al., 2006).

These DFs have been used at other sites in the White Mountain region, in which the Oie horizon had a Ca/Sr ratio consistent with being the nutrient source for the foliage of sugar maple, yellow birch, beech, and red maple (Blum et al., 2008, 2012). It is not known whether Ca/Sr ratios can be used to identify Ca sources in sites lacking the thick organic layer characteristic of Spodosols in the White Mountains. Also, the DFs for many common tree species are unknown, limiting the use of Ca/Sr ratios to identify Ca sources to only those species for which DFs have been determined.

In this paper, we compare Ca, Sr, Mg, P, and Al in tree leaves and soils from a range of soil and parent material types in 19 sites in New Hampshire and New York. Our first objective was to compare the sensitivity of foliar nutrients to variation in soil concentrations obtained by neutral-salt and acid extractions, for a variety of tree species (10 species or species groups). We hypothesized that foliar concentrations would increase with soil Ca, Mg, and P, but remain constant across a range of soil Al. We also expected sugar maple to show a greater response of foliar Ca to soil Ca, because of its known sensitivity to Ca depletion.

Second, we compared Ca/Sr ratios in leaves and soils to determine whether there was a soil pool, likely the upper (0–10 cm) exchangeable pool, that had a Ca/Sr ratio consistent with the known discrimination factors for particular species. Since previous studies showing that the Oie was supplying most of the Ca to foliage were conducted in Spodosols (Blum et al., 2008, 2012), we wanted to see if this approach was valid across a wider range of soil types. Finally, we compared Ca/Sr ratios of foliage among co-occurring species, in an attempt to identify species groups of distinguishable discrimination factors.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study Sites**

Twenty-nine stands in 19 sites in New York and New Hampshire were used for this study (Fig. 1, Table 1). Soil chemical properties were previously studied at these sites (Yanai et al., 2000; Nezat et al., 2008) and they range in amount of total soil Ca in the parent material from 5 mmol Ca kg$^{-1}$ in the Adirondacks to 1890 mmol kg$^{-1}$ in carbonate sites in New York (Nezat et al., 2008). The sites with low soil Ca had sedimentary clastic ($n = 6$) or crystalline silicate ($n = 9$) parent material, while the high soil Ca sites had sedimentary carbonate ($n = 4$) parent material.

**Leaf and Soil Collection**

We collected leaf litter from each of the dominant tree species at each stand. We combined the tree species into 10 groups because many of the 24 species were represented at only a few sites (Tables 2 and 3). At the stands in New Hampshire ($n = 12$), we collected leaves using multiple litter baskets or tarps in each stand, while at the stands in New York ($n = 15$) we collected samples from the soil surface near the soil pit. Our previous comparisons of freshly fallen litter collected on tarps to litter collected in baskets showed no bias in Ca or Sr concentrations (Blum et al., 2008). For more mobile elements, such as K, the method of litter collection is important.

![Fig. 1. Location of study sites in the northeastern United States.](image-url)
to the results (data not shown) and therefore we do not present results for K.

Three soil pits were excavated to the C horizon at each of the stands in New Hampshire (Yanai et al., 2006; Park et al., 2007; Blum et al., 2008; Schaller et al., 2010). Pits were separated by about 50 m. In the stands in New York, only one soil pit was excavated, and samples were collected from the wall of the pit. Soil samples were collected from the Oe and Oa horizons, when present. At all sites, samples were collected from the following depth increments in the mineral soil: 0 to 10 cm, 10 to 30 cm, and 30 cm to the top of the C horizon. Samples were also collected from the C horizon. At some sites, finer depth increments were sampled (10–20, 20–30, 30–50, and 50–C) and we used the average concentrations to estimate the 10 to 30-cm or 30-C increments. At some sites, samples were taken to multiple depths in the C horizon, and we used the uppermost C horizon sample in all cases.

Sample Processing and Chemical Analyses

Leaves and Oe samples were oven dried at 50°C and finely ground to increase sample homogeneity and facilitate digestion. Approximately 0.5 g of each leaf sample was digested in ultrapure distilled HNO₃ and HCl using high-pressure microwave digestion in reinforced XP-1500 Teflon vessels (MARS 5, CEM Corporation, Matthews, NC). Samples were evaporated to dryness and then redissolved in ultra-pure 5% HNO₃ for analysis.

Oa and mineral soil samples were dried (105°C), sieved (2-mm), and subjected to a sequential extraction (Nezat et al., 2007). The exchangeable fraction was extracted by shaking 0.5 g of soil with 5 mL of 1 mol L⁻¹ NH₄Cl at 20°C for ~18 h. The supernatant was collected and filtered through a 0.45-μm membrane. The residual material was extracted with 5 mL of 1 mol L⁻¹ HNO₃ for 18 h at 10°C to dissolve the readily weathered mineral forms (apatite and carbonates). The more aggressive extractions used by Nezat et al. (2008) were not used in this analysis because these soil fractions are not readily available to plants. In the New York region, samples were taken to multiple depths in the C horizon, and we used the uppermost C horizon sample in all cases.

Table 1. Coordinates and characteristics of each site used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>No. of stands</th>
<th>Parent material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jackson (M5)</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>White Mtns</td>
<td>44°12'</td>
<td>71°14'</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Crystalline silicate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron Mountain (T30)</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>White Mtns</td>
<td>44°9'</td>
<td>71°14'</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Crystalline silicate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartlett Experimental Forest (C1, C2, C4, C6, C8, C9, H1, H4, H6)</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>White Mtns</td>
<td>44°3'</td>
<td>71°17'</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Crystalline silicate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabbaday Falls (M6)</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>White Mtns</td>
<td>44°0'</td>
<td>71°25'</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Crystalline silicate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>St. Lawrence Valley</td>
<td>44°52'</td>
<td>74°50'</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sedimentary (clastic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brasher Falls NW</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>St. Lawrence Valley</td>
<td>44°51'</td>
<td>74°39'</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sedimentary (carbonate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grantville</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>St. Lawrence Valley</td>
<td>44°51'</td>
<td>74°55'</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sedimentary (carbonate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Jackson</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>St. Lawrence Valley</td>
<td>44°43'</td>
<td>74°45'</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sedimentary (clastic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southville</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>St. Lawrence Valley</td>
<td>44°41'</td>
<td>74°51'</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sedimentary (clastic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Pond</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>Alleghany Plateau</td>
<td>43°47'</td>
<td>76°12'</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sedimentary (carbonate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black River</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>Adirondack Mts</td>
<td>43°34’</td>
<td>74°51’</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Crystalline silicate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferris Lake</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>Adirondack Mts</td>
<td>43°24’</td>
<td>74°42’</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Crystalline silicate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Happy Valley</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>Alleghany Plateau</td>
<td>43°27’</td>
<td>76°2’</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sedimentary (clastic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klondike</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>Alleghany Plateau</td>
<td>43°22’</td>
<td>75°59’</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sedimentary (clastic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rush</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>Alleghany Plateau</td>
<td>42°58’</td>
<td>77°40’</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sedimentary (carbonate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swift Hill</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>Alleghany Plateau</td>
<td>42°27’</td>
<td>78°14’</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sedimentary (clastic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayetteville</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>Taconic Mts</td>
<td>41°58’</td>
<td>73°43’</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Crystalline silicate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stissing Mt.</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>Taconic Mts</td>
<td>41°56’</td>
<td>73°41’</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Crystalline silicate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wassaic</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>Taconic Mts</td>
<td>41°47’</td>
<td>73°34’</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Crystalline silicate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Species groups used in this study. Since some species were represented at very few sites, we grouped the species into 10 groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species group</th>
<th>Species present</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beech</td>
<td>American beech (Fagus grandifolia Ehrh.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birch</td>
<td>Yellow birch (Betula alleghaniensis Britt.), paper birch (B. papyrifera Marsh.), and gray birch (B. populifolia Marsh.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red maple</td>
<td>Acer rubrum L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar maple</td>
<td>A. saccharum Marsh.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other hardwoods</td>
<td>Striped maple (A. pensylvanica L.), black cherry (Prunus serotina Ehrh.), pin cherry (Prunus pensylvanica L.), white ash (Fraxinus americana L.), black walnut (Juglans nigra L.), and basswood (Tilia americana L.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspen</td>
<td>Quaking aspen (Populus tremuloides Michx.) and big-tooth aspen (P. grandidentata Michaux)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other conifers</td>
<td>Eastern hemlock (Tsuga canadensis (L.) Carriere) and northern white cedar (Thuja occidentalis L.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine</td>
<td>Pitch pine (Pinus rigida Mill.), white pine (P. strobus L.), Scots pine (P. sylvestris L.) and red pine (P. resinosa Sol. ex Aiton)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oak</td>
<td>White oak (Quercus alba L.) and red oak (Q. rubra L.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spruce-fir</td>
<td>White spruce (Picea glauca (Moench) Voss) and balsam fir (Abies balsamea (L.) Mill.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hampshire sites, a hydrogen peroxide extraction followed the neutral-salt extraction. This fraction was small in comparison to the neutral-salt extraction and was added numerically to the acid-extractable fraction for consistency with the other sites.

The leaf digests and soil extracts were analyzed for Ca, Mg, P, Al, and Sr using inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP–OES, PE-3300DV, PerkinElmer, Norwalk, CT) with a five- to eight-point calibration curve. Analysis of a certified reference material (CRM Soil Solution A, High Purity Standards Inc., Charleston, SC) indicated an accuracy of about ± 5% for all elements.

**Data Analysis**

Before statistical analysis, we combined the tree species into 10 groups (Table 2) and averaged the soil concentrations at the New Hampshire sites where three soil pits were collected. To determine the relationship between foliar and soil nutrients by tree species group, we used analysis of covariance for each nutrient (SAS Institute, 2004). Each element (Ca, Mg, P, and Al) and soil depth (0–10 cm, C horizon) was run separately with tree species as a fixed factor and soil nutrient concentration as a covariate. If the slopes were significantly different (α = 0.05) among species, we used Tukey’s multiple comparison procedure (Zar, 1996). We also used this statistical approach using only the sites that had exchangeable Ca below 60 mmol kg⁻¹.

**RESULTS**

**Relation between Foliar and Soil Chemistry**

We compared the relationship between foliar and soil nutrients in the exchangeable pool in the upper mineral soil (0–10 cm) and the readily weathered pool of apatite and/or calcite in the parent material (C horizon). Foliar Ca was generally higher at sites with higher exchangeable Ca at the 0- to 10-cm soil depth \( (p < 0.0003, \text{Fig. 2a, 2b}) \). For acid-extractable Ca in the C horizon, aspen (\textit{Populus} spp.), birch, red maple, and sugar maple had significantly higher foliar Ca with greater soil Ca, but beech and “other conifers” had foliage that was not correlated with soil Ca \( (\text{Fig. 2c}) \). When sites with high Ca (>100 mmol Ca kg⁻¹) were excluded from the analysis, red maple was no longer correlated with soil Ca and the slope of red maple was significantly less than the slopes of birch, “other hardwoods”, and oak \( (\text{Fig. 2d}) \). The size of the exchangeable pool in the C horizon was negligible (<56 mmol kg⁻¹; data not shown).

Foliar Mg was higher at sites with higher exchangeable Mg \( (p = 0.01, \text{Fig. 3a, 3b}) \) as expected. Species differed in their response to acid-extractable Mg in the C horizon \( (\text{Fig. 3c}) \). The foliage of
birch was the most highly correlated with soil Mg; the slope of birch was higher than aspen, beech, other hardwoods, and sugar maple. Differences in species were primarily driven by the high foliar Mg at sites with high Ca. When the sites with high soil Ca were excluded from the analyses, foliar Mg was significantly correlated with soil Mg ($p < 0.001$) but species were not significantly different ($p = 0.5$, Fig. 3d).

Unlike Ca and Mg, the relationship between foliar and soil P was similar across species. Foliar P was higher at sites with higher soil P in the upper mineral soil ($p < 0.0001$, Fig. 4a). Surprisingly, there was no significant relationship of foliar P to C-horizon acid-extractable P ($p = 0.9$, Fig. 4b).

Aluminum concentrations in foliage were not sensitive to soil exchangeable Al ($p = 0.8$, Fig. 5a). There were no significant relationships of foliar Al to C-horizon acid-extractable Al ($p = 0.1$). In fact, the highest foliar Al concentrations were observed at sites with relatively low Al in the parent material (Fig. 5b).

**Calcium/Strontium as an Indicator of Soil Sources**

We compared the Ca/Sr ratio of foliage to the Ca/Sr ratios of soil exchangeable and acid-extractable soil fractions at different soil depths (Fig. 6). A consistent soil source of Ca to trees across sites would be indicated by a foliar Ca/Sr ratio that differed consistently from the Ca/Sr ratio of the soil pool, namely by the discrimination factor: $1.16 \pm 0.13$ (SD) for sugar maple, $1.90 \pm 0.15$ for red maple, $1.78 \pm 0.17$ for beech, and $1.31 \pm 0.10$ for yellow birch (Blum et al., 2012). These values are shown as slopes (with upper and lower confidence limits) in Fig. 6.

For sugar maple and birch, at sites in New Hampshire with the highest Ca/Sr ratios (C6, C9, and M6), the Ca/Sr of foliage was higher than predicted by the Ca/Sr of the Oie, but at the other sites, the Oie was generally consistent with the Ca/Sr predicted for the source (Fig. 6). For beech at the same sites, there was less consistency in the relationship between foliar and soil Ca/Sr ratios; no pool consistently fell within the range predicted by the discrimination factor. In red maple, the Ca/Sr ratio of the Oie horizon fell close to the value predicted by the discrimination factor of red maple (Blum et al., 2012).

In the sites in New York, an organic horizon was not present (except at Ferris Lake and Happy Valley), so we cannot compare the foliar Ca/Sr to an organically cycling pool. In the sites in New York, none of the horizons consistently fell within the confidence interval defined by the discrimination factor for any of the species groups we studied (Fig. 6). In fact, in many sites, all the soil pools had lower Ca/Sr ratios than a possible source to the foliage, so the Ca/Sr of the foliage cannot be explained by a simple mixing between the soil pools that were sampled. Only for beech do a majority of sites have possible sources with both higher and lower Ca/Sr than the foliage. However, in
most cases the only source pool with a higher Ca/Sr than beech leaves is the unweathered apatite pool, indicated by the acid-extractable Ca.

We compared the Ca/Sr of sugar maple foliage to the Ca/Sr soil pools, referenced to the 0 to 10 cm exchangeable pool (Fig. 7). If the 0 to 10 cm exchangeable pool were the source of Ca and Sr to the vegetation, the Ca/Sr of the foliage divided by the soil pool would be the same as the discrimination factor, shown as the line in Fig. 7. Instead, Ca/Sr of the foliage is much higher than predicted by any of the measured soil pools, except in one of the stands (C1 at Bartlett). Exchangeable pools at greater depths are generally lower in Ca/Sr than the 0 to 10 cm exchangeable pool; the foliar Ca/Sr would appear even higher relative to possible sources if compared to these pools.

Comparing Calcium/Strontium across Species

We compared the Ca/Sr of foliage of different tree species sharing the same site (Fig. 8). The comparisons support the reported differences in DFs, which were developed at common sites (Dasch et al., 2006; Blum et al., 2012). Specifically, beech and red maple have higher foliar Ca/Sr relative to the soil source by a factor of 1.8 to 1.9, while sugar maple and yellow birch differ by a factor of 1.2 to 1.3 (Fig. 8a). The other hardwoods (Table 2) are similar to sugar maple and yellow birch. Oak has higher foliar Ca/Sr than the four species for which DFs have been defined (Fig. 8b), suggesting that it has a higher DF than 1.9 or has a different source of Ca.

Species differences in Ca/Sr ratios are evident regardless of the species chosen for the x axis on Fig. 8, but the choice of a reference species does affect which species are statistically different. For example, red maple and beech have higher Ca/Sr ratios than birch and sugar maple when beech is used as the reference (Fig. 8a) but they are indistinguishable when compared to birch (Fig. 8b). Not all species are present at all sites and thus the data set available for comparison depends on the choice of the reference species.

DISCUSSION

Foliar Response to Soil Nutrients

Foliation showed a strong relationship to soil Ca, Mg, and P but not Al in the exchangeable fraction of the upper mineral soil (Fig. 2–4). Correlations between foliar and soil exchangeable Ca have been observed in sugar maple in the northeastern United States (Schaberg et al., 2006). In 33 plantations across New Zealand, foliar Ca was correlated with exchangeable Ca in Monterey pine but not by Mexican cypress (Davis et al., 2007). Contrary to our study, foliar Mg was not correlated with soil exchangeable Mg in sugar maple (Schaberg et al., 2006) but was correlated in Monterey pine and Mexican cypress. (Davis et al., 2007). Differences in the relationship between foliar and soil
nutrients indicates that the relationship might be site or species-specific for exchangeable Ca and Mg.

The strong control that parent materials exert over Ca availability is reflected in the correlations we found between the foliar Ca and the C horizon for aspen, birch, red maple, and sugar maple. As expected, sugar maple was higher in foliar Ca with increasing soil Ca (Fujinuma et al., 2005; Page et al., 2008), while beech foliage was not (Park and Yanai, 2009). Aspen, birch, and red maple were also responsive to soil Ca, indicating that they may be more sensitive to base cation depletion than the “other conifers” [eastern hemlock (Tsuga canadensis (L.) Carr.) and northern white cedar (Thuja occidentalis L.), Table 2]. Birch was the most responsive to soil Mg in the C horizon, though Mg is not thought to be limiting in northeastern forests. However, the foliage of some species, such as American beech and “other conifers,” reflects the Ca availability of the exchangeable nutrients more than the parent material.

Foliar P was correlated with P in the upper mineral soil but not the C horizon, indicating the possible importance of biologically driven uptake from the mineral soil into actively cycling pools (Dijkstra and Smits, 2002; Hamburg et al., 2003) or the importance of the atmospheric deposition of P (Prospero et al., 1996). Our results with P are consistent with others who suggest that P concentrations in the upper 0 to 10 cm of the mineral soil are a good indicator of potential nutrient limitation for trees (Schoenholtz et al., 2000; Davis et al., 2007).

The relationship of foliar nutrients to soil nutrients differed by nutrient. The strongest relationship was for P, in which five out of seven species groups had high slopes, averaging 2.6. This might be expected, if P is more limiting to growth at the low-P sites, while Ca and Mg are not. Recent analysis indicates that P limitation is more widespread in terrestrial ecosystems than previously thought (Elser et al., 2007). For Al, which is not a nutrient for trees, foliar concentrations were remarkably constant over a wide range of soil concentrations (Fig. 5). Trees generally exclude Al from their foliage (Jackson 1967) and other studies have found no relationship between foliar and soil Al (e.g., Rosenberg and Butcher, 2010).

Soil Sources Indicated by Calcium/Strontium

One goal of this analysis was to determine whether the soil source of Ca within a species was constant across a wide range of soil types. In New Hampshire, the majority of the sites had foliar Ca/Sr consistent with uptake from the Oie horizon (Fig. 6). However, we found greater Ca/Sr ratios in foliage than expected at sites with high Oie Ca/Sr. This difference could reflect a difference in soil sources, or it could be that discrimination of Ca over Sr is greater at the high Ca/Sr sites. These sites have higher foliar Ca/Sr ratios (>500) than the average foliar Ca/Sr at Hubbard Brook (foliar Ca/Sr = 413; Dasch et al., 2006), where the discrimination factor was determined. Beauregard and Côté
(2008) also reported nonlinear Ca/Sr discrimination at high soil Ca/Sr by sugar maple seedlings relative to rhizosphere soil, but they found lower, not higher, Ca/Sr ratios in foliage than expected. Beech and oak were reported to show constant discrimination factors with exchangeable soil Ca over a range of 6 to 840 mmol kg\(^{-1}\) (Drouet and Herbauts 2008), compared to our range of 0.02 to 1890 mmol kg\(^{-1}\).

In Spodosols, the forest floor is the soil pool that supplies the majority of Ca, as well as other nutrients (Yanai, 1992), to plant uptake, so it’s not surprising that the Oie could supply most of the Ca to foliage in our New Hampshire sites. Where detrital organic matter is incorporated more rapidly into the mineral soil, as in the New York sites, there was no such pool that we could recognize as consistent with foliar Ca/Sr ratios (Fig. 6). As others have noted (Likens et al., 1998), the soil exchangeable pool of Ca is not a good indicator of the amount of Ca available to trees. Our results confirm that Ca uptake is not just from the
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The high Ca/Sr of sugar maple foliage compared to all the mineral soil pools (Fig. 7) suggests that a significant fraction of Ca uptake is occurring directly from decomposing organic matter, perhaps through the action of mycorrhizal fungi (Dighton, 1991; Chalot and Brun, 1998). The redistribution of detritus by soil organisms means that more of the mineralization of nutrients occurs over a greater depth in the soil profile than in the Spodosols of New Hampshire (Bohlen et al., 2004). The high Ca/Sr of foliage relative to all the soil pools suggests that direct uptake of nutrients occurs even without the formation of an organic horizon. When we sample soils by horizon or by depth increment using traditional methods, we apparently fail to isolate this actively cycling pool, except in the case of Spodosols, where this recycling occurs above the mineral soil.

We also compared the Ca/Sr of foliage between species at the same sites. We found that beech and red maple have higher Ca/Sr ratios than sugar maple and yellow birch and that oak has higher Ca/Sr ratios than beech and red maple. These results indicate that the discrimination factor differs between species (oak > beech, red maple > sugar maple, birch), as reported (except for oak) by other studies (Blum et al., 2008, 2012) or that their soil sources differ in a very consistent way. At sites where the soil source is known, this technique holds promise for deducing DFs for species for which the discrimination factor is not known, though a large sample size may be needed to statistically separate the different DFs.

There are many complex and poorly understood issues involved in interpreting Ca/Sr ratios in forested ecosystems. Tissues within the trees have different DFs, with leaves discriminating for Ca over Sr (DF > 1; Blum et al., 2008, 2012), while roots discriminate for Sr over Ca (Dasch et al., 2006). Therefore, to assess the effect of repeated annual uptake on the Ca/Sr of soil pools would require a mass-balance budget and knowledge of the DFs for all the species and tissue types in the ecosystem. Other factors affect the Ca/Sr of soil pools, such as transport of Ca and Sr in soil solution and recycling of nutrients through decomposition. More research on these processes will improve the interpretation of Sr as a tracer for Ca in a variety of soil types.
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Fig. 7. The Ca/Sr ratio of sugar maple leaves and the soil exchangeable pool divided by the Ca/Sr ratio of the exchangeable 0–10 cm horizon plotted against the molar Ca/Sr ratio of the acid-extractable fraction of the C horizon. The discrimination factor of sugar maple is displayed as a horizontal line at 1.16 (Blum et al., 2012).

Fig. 8. The Ca/Sr ratio of leaves of various species compared, at the sites where they co-occur, to that of species with known discrimination factors from Blum et al. (2012). Slopes with different letters differ significantly at α ≤ 0.05.


