2016 Sussman Internship Proposal Evaluation

Author:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Topical Merit | Objectives Clear & Technically Feasible | Quality of Underlying Idea for Proposed Work | Quality and Clarity of Writing | OVERALL Rating |
|  |  |  |  |  |

**Topical Merit and Significance (i.e., valuable work for ESF, sponsor and Sussman**

**Clear Objectives & Technical Feasibility (can accomplish objectives in 14 weeks)**

**Quality of Underlying Idea (good science, clear hypothesis, research design, methods)**

**Quality of Writing (should be clear, concise and without significant use of scientific jargon – this is not an NSF proposal, the audience is a group of layman trustees)**

Please fill in the table using a **1 – 10 scale**, with 1 being unacceptably weak, and 10 being exceptionally strong; please make the evaluation assuming the “technical” quality of the proposal meets the requirements set forth in the RFP (i.e., word count, spelling, appropriate letters/transcripts/etc. included.)