Request to Conduct Research on the Bartlett Experimental Forest

Our review process has two deadlines to submit proposed work – April 1 and October 1. We try to get approvals back within a month. Providing a complete set of information will facilitate the review process. Be as specific as possible regarding your potential sampling locations and methods.

I. Investigator(s) Name(s), Affiliation(s), E-mail address, and if you've worked at BEF: add additional lines if necessary

<u>Name</u>

Affiliation

E-mail address

Prior work (y/n)

II. Proposal information

Title:

Major hypotheses:

Funding sources (please indicate both pending or currently available sources:

Proposed study start date:

Estimated duration of study:

III. Type of system involved/work proposed. Please address items a-c:

a. System (check all that apply):

Terrestrial

Aquatic Watershed

Atmosphere

Other

b. Type of work proposed:

Large-scale manipulation (e.g., compartment/watershed treatment of vegetation/site)

Small-scale manipulation (e.g., plot level treatment of vegetation/site)

Large/small-scale non manipulative measurements

Type of sampling proposed (check all boxes that apply):

	Non-destructive sampling	Destructive sampling	Long-term monitoring	Other
--	--------------------------	----------------------	----------------------	-------

c. Key words – check any descriptors that apply to your proposal:

Forestry	Hydrology	Community Ecology	Autecology
Wildlife	Remote Sensing	Population Biology	Physical Ecology
Soils	Physics	Biogeochemistry	Chemistry
Geology	Landscape Ecology	Choice 1	Choice 2

List the types of organisms or substances you intend to study (e.g., trees, amphibians, mammals, soils, calcium, etc)

IV. In which Bartlett compartments would you like to work?

Have you discussed these sites with either Bill Leak / Mariko Yamasaki and if so when?



V. What Bartlett ongoing research or publications are related to your proposed research?

VI. Proposal abstract (or a brief description of your proposed research attached to this form):

VII. Proposed field methods and sampling procedures with sufficient information to determine potential disturbance and sampling impacts:

VIII. Safety-The USFS is committed to increasing safety awareness among its employees and research cooperators. The WMNF and the Bartlett Experimental Forest occur on rugged terrain with severe weather patterns throughout the year and appropriate preparations are necessary prior to engaging in field work. Please provide us with a description of your safety guidelines for personnel working in both the field and in the lab. For example, your check in/check out procedures, job hazard analysis, relevant training, safety equipment.

Special considerations – If the proposed research involves potentially hazardous techniques (e.g., shotgun sampling, tree/tower climbing) provide specific information about the techniques and materials, including justifications for use, potential problems and concerns, and any statements on necessary precautions and safety factors that will be utilized in the process.

Some degree of NEPA assessment on the part of the researcher will be required for any of the following manipulative treatments that use contaminating materials (e.g., isotopes, introduced plants or animals, fertilizers, insecticides, and herbicides). At a minimum, a scoping letter describing the proposed experiment will be sent to all BEF abutters and WMNF for comment and a letter to the file as a categorical exclusion will be needed. A determination of the degree and extent of assessment required will be made at the time of the proposal by the Project Leader. See this link for more information: <u>http://www.fs.fed.us/emc/nepa/</u>

Research Stipulations: If you are granted permission to work at BEF: (1) any plots you establish within compartments will need to be georeferenced, size of plot documented, and a plot data file will need to be provided as soon as possible for our records; and (2) all researchers will be required to remove all field sampling material and equipment from plots and laboratory site at the conclusion of their work.

All GIS data shall be in the following format: <u>Projection</u> – NH State Plane, NAD83 Ft.

Coordinates - Decimal Degrees

E-mail or mail this form to:

Mariko Yamasaki, Silviculture/Wildlife Team Leader U. S. Forest Service, Northern Research Station Forest Sciences Lab 271 Mast Road Durham, NH 03824 Email: <u>myamasaki@fs.fed.us</u> **VIII.** Safety-The USFS is committed to increasing safety awareness among its employees and research cooperators.

The WMNF and the Bartlett Experimental Forest occur on rugged terrain with severe weather patterns throughout the year and appropriate preparations are necessary prior to engaging in field work. Please provide us with a description of your safety guidelines for personnel working in both the field and in the lab. For example, your check in/check out procedures, job hazard analysis, relevant training, safety equipment.

Our crew leaders have a plan every day for the location of all personnel and are provided with emergency contact information.

Special considerations – If the proposed research involves potentially hazardous techniques (e.g., shotgun sampling, tree/tower climbing) provide specific information about the techniques and materials, including justifications for use, potential problems and concerns, and any statements on necessary precautions and safety factors that will be utilized in the process.

NA

Some degree of NEPA assessment on the part of the researcher will be required for any of the following manipulative treatments that use contaminating materials (e.g., isotopes, introduced plants or animals, fertilizers, insecticides, and herbicides). At a minimum, a scoping letter describing the proposed experiment will be sent to all BEF abutters and WMNF for comment and a letter to the file as a categorical exclusion will be needed. A determination of the degree and extent of assessment required will be made at the time of the proposal by the Project Leader. See this link for more information: <u>http://www.fs.fed.us/emc/nepa/</u>

We obtained a NEPA exclusion.

Decidentian NUL State Diana NADO2 Ft

Research Stipulations: If you are granted permission to work at BEF: (1) any plots you establish within compartments will need to be geo-referenced with plot centers and corners identified; and a plot data file will need to be provided as soon as possible for our records; and (2) all researchers will be required to remove all field sampling material and equipment from plots and laboratory site at the conclusion of their work. All GIS data shall be in the following format:

Coordinates

Decimal Degrees			<u>Coordinates</u> –		
		Age in	Elevation		
Site	USFS Designation	2004	(m)	Aspect	GIS Coordinates
C1	Davis Brook West Timber	12	570	flat to SE	C1-1: 44.042824,-71.320720
	Sale				C1-2: 44.042538,-71.321201
					C1-3: 44.041931,-71.321822
					C1-4: 44.042574,-71.321958
C2	Saco RD Cmpt 51	14	340	NE	C2-1: 44.059038,-71.269333
					C2-2: 44.059480,-71.268800
					C2-3: 44.059813,-71.269119
					C2-4: 44.059526,-71.269901
C3	Saco RD Cmpt 52 stand 17	19	590	NNE	C3-1: 44.038185,-71.291325
					C3-2: 44.037742,-71.291219
					C3-3: 44.037665,-71.291834
					C3-4: 44.037222,-71.291729
C4	Saco RD Cmpt 52 stand 8	26	410	NE	C4-1: 44.053436,-71.268748
					C4-2: 44.053117,-71.268069

				C4-3: 44.053147,-71.267087
				C4-4: 44.052826,-71.266443
no stand # on map	28	550	flat to NW	C5-1: 44.039193,-71.316669
				C5-2: 44.039836,-71.315839
				C5-3: 44.040121,-71.315342
				C5-4: 44.040463,-71.314936
Saco RD Cmpt 51 stand 6	29	460	NNW	C6-1: 44.040352,-71.275200
				C6-2: 44.039902,-71.275202
				C6-3: 44.040350,-71.274576
				C6-4: 44.039900,-71.274579
BEF cmpt 33/34	mature	440	ENE	C7-1: 44.052278,-71.302577
				C7-2: 44.052730,-71.303198
				C7-3: 44.053180,-71.303195
				C7-4: 44.053908,-71.303122
BEF cmpt 33/29	mature	330	NE	C8-1: 44.054080,-71.297186
				C8-2: 44.053793,-71.297666
				C8-3: 44.053333,-71.297457
				C8-4: 44.054807,-71.299769
Saco RD Cmpt 52 stand ?	mature	440	NE	C9-1: 44.043814,-71.278167
				C9-2: 44.043933,-71.278769
				C9-3: 44.043340,-71.279463
				C9-4: 44.044128,-71.279415
	no stand # on map Saco RD Cmpt 51 stand 6 BEF cmpt 33/34 BEF cmpt 33/29 Saco RD Cmpt 52 stand ?	no stand # on map28Saco RD Cmpt 51 stand 629BEF cmpt 33/34matureBEF cmpt 33/29matureSaco RD Cmpt 52 stand ?mature	no stand # on map28550Saco RD Cmpt 51 stand 629460BEF cmpt 33/34mature440BEF cmpt 33/29mature330Saco RD Cmpt 52 stand ?mature440	no stand # on map28550flat to NWSaco RD Cmpt 51 stand 629460NNWBEF cmpt 33/34mature440ENEBEF cmpt 33/29mature330NESaco RD Cmpt 52 stand ?mature440NE

Approval Page

NEPA requirements have been reviewed and approved as follows:

This project can proceed without further assessment.

This manipulative project can proceed as a categorical exclusion after completing a scoping letter to the public and a letter to the file.

This manipulative project will require further environmental assessment work before approval.

Approved by:

Project Leader

Date: