Dear Dr. Ruth Yanai,

you wrote to me about a previous invitation I terminated in just one day. Usually 1 of 4-5 invitations is accepted and it takes 3-6 days for me to secure at least 3-5 reviewers. Some fortunate or interesting manuscripts are faster. That manuscript was very fast. During the covid lockdown, I noticed the average time was a bit faster.

Now, I hope you have time and interest for accepting this new invitation, about a similar subject.

We are mindful of the demands on your time, and in light of your considerable expertise in this field we would be pleased if you would consider reviewing for the journal, Science of the Total Environment (STOTEN), the manuscript titled "Response strategies of nutrient resorption to undergrowth productivity with the restoration stages of Robinia pseudoacacia".

The manuscript has already been subject to editorial screening and considered to be of sufficient quality to be sent out for review. If you are unfamiliar with our journal, please visit our website (http://www.journals.elsevier.com/science-of-the-total-environment) and review our Aims and Scope. The Abstract provided by the author is at the end of the email. If you wish to review this manuscript but have any conflicts of interest can you please let me know. Additionally, please remember that peer review is a confidential process, and any information associated with this manuscript should not be discussed or shared with anyone. If you are too busy to review this paper I would appreciate it if you could recommend an alternate reviewer with appropriate expertise.

We would like the review to be completed in no more than 21 days from the date you receive it.

If you are willing to accept this invitation and to review this manuscript, please click on the following link:

https://ees.elsevier.com/stoten/l.asp?i=2449663&t=M1BPUB1G

If you are not available to review this manuscript, please click on the link below:

https://ees.elsevier.com/stoten/l.asp?i=2449662&t=902R4W3W

To view reviewer PDF: https://ees.elsevier.com/stoten/l.asp?i=2449661&t=WAWV4RM2

If you must Decline, may I ask you to please use the text box provided online to suggest possible alternative reviewers for this paper.

As a reviewer you are entitled to complimentary access to Scopus and ScienceDirect for 30 days. Full instructions and details will be provided upon accepting this invitation to review.

Please also note that authors have been invited to convert their supplementary material into a Data in Brief article (a data description article). You may notice this change alongside the revised manuscript. You do not need to review this, but may need to look at the files in order to confirm that any supporting information you