FOREST SCIENCE REVIEW FORM

MS# <u>99-10-05</u> Reviewer # <u>3</u>			Date sent to Reviewer		
Title: Phosphorus and potassium uptake kinetics in red maple seedlings					
Thank you for your help. Referee review of manuscripts is central to scientific publication, but the process could not function without the substantial investments of time and energy made by the referees.					
Instructions to reviewers : Please provide us with your opinion on the suitability of this manuscript for publication in <i>Forest Science</i> . It would be helpful if you used the following questions to guide your review. Specific comments for transmittal to the author(s) should be <u>typed</u> and anonymous unless there is a reason for you to make yourself known to the author(s). Only manuscript pages with notes in the margins need to be returned. Manuscripts in review are confidential documents and should be returned or destroyed.					
Ger	neral questions: Is the information new and of interest?	□ yes	☐ see comments	□ not a research article	
2.	Are the conclusions supported by the data?	□ yes	□ see comments	□ not a research article	
3.	Is the manuscript logically organized?	□ yes	□ see comments	inot a research article	
4.	Is the title appropriate for the article?	□ yes	□ see comments		
5.	Are all figures and tables necessary?	□ yes	□ see comments		
6.	Are the references up-to-date, complete	_ y 03	in see comments		
	and appropriate?	□ yes	□ see comments		
7.	Are SI (metric) units used?	□ yes	□ see comments	□ not applicable	
8.	Does the manuscript require editing	_ , ••		□ not applicable	
	for language usage?	□ no	\square some errors	☐ yes, needs technical editing	
	*				
You	Your recommendation:				
	☐ Accept as is (or with minor corrections)				
	☐ Acceptable after minor revision				
	☐ Reconsider after major revision (should be re-reviewed)				
	☐ Reject in current form but consider new submission based on same study or topic				
	☐ Reject as unsuitable for Forest Science Suggestions for alternative outlet?				
For Editor/Associate Editor information:					
	Are you willing to review a revised manuscript	t?	□ yes	□ no	
	Reviewer's nameand title (Please print clearly or type as you would like your name to appear on annual reviewer list)				
	Address:				
	E-mail address, phone and Fax numbers:				