

Review for Oecologia

You are asked to comment on two aspects of the paper. First, is the science as such sound, e.g., pertinent literature done justice; methods solid and up-to-date; results trustworthy and adequately documented, including statistical treatment if appropriate; conclusions supported by and not exceeding results? Second, if the paper passes on those points, how do you rate its interest value for Oecologia? We receive considerably more papers than we can publish and therefore try to select papers which are not merely confirmatory, but make some novel contribution to the understanding of the problems in question, and are of interest not only to the narrow specialist. If a manuscript requires major revisions in writing or in the data analysis, it is appropriate to recommend rejection and to allow a resubmission. It will be appreciated if you keep this aspect in mind when making your recommendation.

We expect your review within four weeks of receipt. If this is not possible, please immediately return the manuscript.

Author(s)

Title

Recommendation:

- ☐ Accept, recommend publication without further revision
- ☐ Accept after revisions
- ☐ I would like to re-review a revised manuscript before making any recommendation
- ☐ Decline

If you recommend publication, please check one of the options below:

- ☐ Manuscript represents innovative contribution of broad interest
- ☐ Manuscript is a solid contribution of broad interest
- ☐ Manuscript is a solid contribution of specialized interest
- ☐ Manuscript is acceptable, but largely confirmatory (will be accepted on a space-available basis)
- ☐ Manuscript is acceptable, but primarily of regional interest (will be accepted on a space-available basis)

If you recommend acceptance, are all of the figures necessary and of acceptable quality for publication?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Please provide written review comments to be provided to the authors on a separate sheet of paper (2 copies). Also, feel free to make additional comments in the margins of the text. If there are confidential comments for the editor, please provide those comments in a separate letter.